# Australian Standard™

Functional safety—Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector

Part 3: Guidance for the determination of the required safety integrity levels



This Australian Standard was prepared by Committee IT-006, Information Technology for Industrial Automation and Integration. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 5 March 2004 and published on 10 May 2004.

The following are represented on Committee IT-006:

Association of Consulting Engineers Australia

Australian Electrical and Electronic Manufacturers Association

CSIRO Centre for Planning and Design

CSIRO Manufacturing & Infrastructure Technology

Department of Defence (Australia)

Institute of Instrumentation, Control and Automation Australia

Institution of Engineers Australia

Monash University

**RMIT University** 

The University of Melbourne

#### Keeping Standards up-to-date

Standards are living documents which reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, all Standards are periodically reviewed, and new editions are published. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Standards may also be withdrawn. It is important that readers assure themselves they are using a current Standard, which should include any amendments which may have been published since the Standard was purchased.

Detailed information about Standards can be found by visiting the Standards Web Shop at www.standards.com.au and looking up the relevant Standard in the on-line catalogue.

Alternatively, the printed Catalogue provides information current at 1 January each year, and the monthly magazine, *The Global Standard*, has a full listing of revisions and amendments published each month.

Australian Standards™ and other products and services developed by Standards Australia are published and distributed under contract by SAI Global, which operates the Standards Web Shop.

We also welcome suggestions for improvement in our Standards, and especially encourage readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Contact us via email at mail@standards.org.au, or write to the Chief Executive, Standards Australia International Ltd, GPO Box 5420, Sydney, NSW 2001.

AS IEC 61511.3-2004

## Australian Standard™

Functional safety—Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector

Part 3: Guidance for the determination of the required safety integrity levels

First published as AS IEC 61511.3—2004.

#### **COPYRIGHT**

© Standards Australia International

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher.

#### **PREFACE**

This Standard was prepared by the Standards Australia Committee IT-006, Information Technology for Industrial Automation and Integration.

This Standard is identical with, and has been reproduced from, IEC 61511-3:2003, Functional safety—Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector—Part 3: Guidance for the determination of the required safety integrity levels.

The objective of this Standard is to provide underlying concepts of risk, the relationship of risk to safety integrity, the determination of tolerable risk and a number of different methods that enable the safety integrity levels for the safety instrumented functions to be determined.

This Standard is Part 3 of AS IEC 61511, Functional safety—Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector, which is published in parts as follows:

- Part 1: Framework, definitions, system, hardware and software requirements
- Part 2: Guidelines for the application of AS IEC 61511-1
- Part 3: Guidance for the determination of the required safety integrity levels (this standard)

The terms 'normative' and 'informative' are used to define the application of the annex to which they apply. A normative annex is an integral part of a standard, whereas an informative annex is only for information and guidance.

As this Standard is reproduced from an International Standard, the following applies:

- (a) Its number does not appear on each page of text and its identity is shown only on the cover and title page.
- (b) In the source text 'this international standard' should read 'this Australian Standard'.
- (c) A full point should be substituted for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

### **CONTENTS**

IN <sup>-</sup>	rodi	JCTION	V			
1	Scop	e	1			
2	Terms, definitions and abbreviations					
3	Risk and safety integrity – general guidance					
	3.1	General	2			
	3.2	Necessary risk reduction				
	3.3	3.3 Role of safety instrumented systems				
	3.4 Safety integrity					
	3.5	3.6 Allocation of safety requirements				
		3.7 Safety integrity levels				
	3.8	Selection of the method for determining the required safety integrity level	/			
An risl	nex A < conc	(informative) As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and tolerable epts	8			
An	nex B	(informative) Semi-quantitative method	11			
An	nex C	(informative) The safety layer matrix method	19			
An qua	nex D alitativ	(informative) Determination of the required safety integrity levels – a semi- e method: calibrated risk graph	25			
		(informative) Determination of the required safety integrity levels – tive method: risk graph	33			
An	nex F	(informative) Layer of protection analysis (LOPA)	39			
Fig	ure 1	– Overall framework of this standard	vii			
Fig	ure 2	Typical risk reduction methods found in process plants	2			
Fig	ure 3	- Risk reduction: general concepts	5			
Fig	ure 4	– Risk and safety integrity concepts	5			
		Allocation of safety requirements to the safety instrumented systems, prevention/mitigation protection layers and other protection layers	7			
Fig	ure A.	1 – Tolerable risk and ALARP	9			
_		1 – Pressurized vessel with existing safety systems				
		2 – Fault tree for overpressure of the vessel				
_		3 – Hazardous events with existing safety systems				
_		4 – Hazardous events with redundant protection layer				
_		5 – Hazardous events with SIL 2 SIS safety function				
_		1 – Protection layers				
_		2 – Example safety layer matrix				
_		1 – Risk graph: general scheme				
_						
_		2 - Risk Graph: environmental loss				
_		1 – DIN V 19250 Risk graph – personnel protection (see Table E.1)				
_		2 – Relationship between IEC 61511, DIN 19250 and VDI/VDE 2180				
Fig	ure F.	1 – Layer of Protection Analysis (LOPA) Report	40			



The is a new provider i arenade and chare publication at the limit below	This is a free preview.	Purchase the	entire publication	at the link below:
--	-------------------------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------

**Product Page** 

- Dooking for additional Standards? Visit Intertek Inform Infostore
- Dearn about LexConnect, All Jurisdictions, Standards referenced in Australian legislation